

The Yoneda Embedding and Group Representations

A.P. Neate

I will be describing the relationship between group representations (for which [1] is an excellent introduction) and functor categories. Furthermore, I will use this relationship to give a way to construct some group representations purely categorically. The main tool in achieving this is the Yoneda embedding, an embedding derived from the Yoneda Lemma [3].

Definition 0.1. The **Yoneda embedding** is a fully faithful embedding

$$y: C \hookrightarrow [C^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}]$$

such that for objects c, c' ,

$$y(c)(c') := C(c', c)$$

is natural in c and c' . For a morphism $g: x \rightarrow y$ in C we have,

$$y(g)(c') := C(c', g): C(c', x) \rightarrow C(c', y)$$

which sends $f: c' \rightarrow x$ to $g \circ f$. For a morphism $h: y \rightarrow x$ in C^{op} we similarly have,

$$y(c)(h) := C(h, c): C(x, c) \rightarrow C(y, c)$$

which sends $f: x \rightarrow c$ to $f \circ h$. This generalises to an enriched Yoneda embedding [2] where for a V -enriched category C , if V has underlying set structure, we have the embedding,

$$\begin{aligned} y: C \hookrightarrow [C^{\text{op}}, V]; \quad y(c)(c') &:= C(c', c); \\ y(g)(c') &:= C(c', g); \quad y(c)(h) := C(h, c). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 0.2. Let G be a group. The $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -enriched category BCG has one object \bullet and hom object $BCG(\bullet, \bullet) = \mathbb{C}G$. The composition $\circ: \mathbb{C}G \otimes \mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G$ is given by the group multiplication $g \otimes h \mapsto g \cdot h$. The identity morphism $\text{id}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow BCG$ sends $c \in \mathbb{C}$ to its product ce with the group identity.

Definition 0.3. Let G be a group and V be a vector space. A G -**representation** on V is a group homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$.

Example 0.4. A particular pair of examples of a representation we will be interested in is the **right and left regular representations**. For any group G the group algebra $\mathbb{C}G$ over \mathbb{C} can be considered as a vector space. Multiplication on the left by an element $g \in G$ is, by definition of the algebra multiplication, a linear map

$$(g \cdot): \mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G; \sum_{h \in G} c_h h \mapsto \sum_{h \in G} c_h (g \cdot h).$$

We can quickly check this gives a group homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}G)$ by $g \mapsto (g \cdot)$. Multiplication can either be done on the left, as above, or on the right and this gives rise to the left regular representation

$${}^{\text{reg}}\mathbb{C}G := (\mathbb{C}G, \rho: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G; g \mapsto g \cdot (-))$$

or the right regular representation

$$\mathbb{C}G^{\text{reg}} := (\mathbb{C}G, \rho: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G; g \mapsto (-) \cdot g)$$

respectively.

Definition 0.5. Let $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ and $\tau: G \rightarrow GL(W)$ be group representations. A **G -equivariant map** is a linear map $f: V \rightarrow W$ such that the following diagram commutes for all $g \in G$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{f} & W \\ \rho(g) \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau(g) \\ V & \xrightarrow{f} & W \end{array}$$

Definition 0.6. The category $\text{Rep}(G)$ has objects which are pairs $(V, \rho: G \rightarrow GL(V))$ of a vector space V and a G -representation on V . The morphisms are given by the G -equivariant maps.

Definition 0.7. Let $I: [BCG, \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}] \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)$ be the functor which sends a $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -functor $F: BCG \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ to the pair

$$(F(\bullet), \rho: G \rightarrow GL(F(\bullet)); g \mapsto F(g)) \in \text{Rep}(G)$$

and sends a natural transformation η to the G -equivariant map which is the linear map corresponding to the component of η at \bullet .

To know this is indeed a functor we need to verify that $I(F)(g)$ is in $GL(F(\bullet))$ but since functors preserve isomorphism and all $g \in G$ have inverse g^{-1} then all $g \in G$ are isomorphisms of BCG and so we know that $F(g)$ is in $GL(F(\bullet))$. We also need to verify that a natural transformation does indeed map to a G -equivariant map but simply applying our functor to all morphisms and objects of a natural transformation square gives the required condition on a G -equivariant map.

Lemma 0.8. *The functor $I: [BCG, \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}] \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)$ is an isomorphism of categories.*

Proof. Let $J: \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow [BCG, \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}]$ be the functor which sends $(V, \rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)) \in \text{Rep}(G)$ to the functor

$$J(\rho): BCG \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}; \bullet \mapsto V, \sum_{g \in G} c_g g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} c_g \rho(g)$$

and sends a G -equivariant map $f: V \rightarrow V$ to the natural transformation with component f at \bullet considered as a linear map.

We claim J is inverse to I . Firstly if $F: BCG \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a functor then the representation of $I(F)$ is the restriction of F on G which sends $g \in G$ to $F(g) \in GL(F(\bullet))$ so $I(F)$ is the pair $(F(\bullet), F: G \rightarrow GL(F(\bullet))) \in \text{Rep}(G)$. By definition of J we send $I(F)$ to the functor $J(I(F))$ which sends \bullet to $F(\bullet)$ and $\sum_{g \in G} c_g g \in \mathbb{C}G$ to $\sum_{g \in G} c_g F(g)$. Because F is a $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -functor, F is a linear map and so we have $\sum_{g \in G} c_g F(g) = F\left(\sum_{g \in G} c_g g\right)$ and hence $J \circ I(F) = F$.

Let $\eta: F \rightarrow G$ be a natural transformation in $[BCG, \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}]$ with component η_{\bullet} at \bullet . The G -equivariant map $I(\eta)$ is defined to be η_{\bullet} and $J(I(\eta))$ is the natural transformation with component η_{\bullet} . Since η only has one component then this means $J \circ I(\eta) = \eta$. This shows $J \circ I = \text{id}_{[BCG, \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}]}$

Conversely let $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ be a G -representation. We know $J(\rho)$ is a functor such that $J(\rho)(\bullet) = V$ and for all $g \in G$ we have $J(\rho)(g) = \rho(g)$. Since $GL(J(\rho)(\bullet)) = GL(V)$ this means $I \circ J(\rho)$ is a G -representation $G \rightarrow GL(V)$ which sends g to $J(\rho)(g) = \rho(g)$ and hence $I \circ J(\rho) = \rho$.

Finally let f be a G -equivariant map then $J(f)$ is a natural transformation with single component f . Then I sends $J(f)$ back to f since f is the component of $J(f)$ at \bullet . Hence we have that $I \circ J = \text{id}_{\text{Rep}(G)}$ and so $J = I^{-1}$ as required. \square

Theorem 0.9. *Consider the Yoneda embedding $y: BCG^{\text{op}} \rightarrow [BCG, \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}]$ and the canonical isomorphism $I: [BCG, \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}] \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)$. The following characterises $I \circ y: BCG^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)$.*

1. *The object \bullet of BCG^{op} is sent to the left regular representation ${}^{\text{reg}}\mathbb{C}G$.*
2. *For any $g \in \mathbb{C}G$ we have $(g: \bullet \rightarrow \bullet) \mapsto (g \cdot v: {}^{\text{reg}}\mathbb{C}G \rightarrow {}^{\text{reg}}\mathbb{C}G)$ i.e. the G -equivariant map given by left multiplication by g .*

Proof.

1. Since $y(\bullet)$ is a functor then we need to find what $y(\bullet)$ does on objects and morphisms of BCG respectively. First $y(\bullet)(\bullet) = BCG^{\text{op}}(\bullet, \bullet)$ by Definition 0.1 of the Yoneda embedding. By Definition 0.2 we have $BCG^{\text{op}}(\bullet, \bullet) = \mathbb{C}G$ as a vector space and so we have the map of $y(\bullet)$

on objects. For the map on morphisms of BCG Definition 0.1 of the Yoneda embedding tells us that

$$y(\bullet)(g) = BCG^{\text{op}}(g, \bullet): BCG^{\text{op}}(\bullet, \bullet) \rightarrow BCG^{\text{op}}(\bullet, \bullet)$$

sends $v \in BCG$ to $v \circ g$. The composition in BCG sends $v \circ g$ to the flipped multiplication gv and so $y(\bullet)$ is a functor sending g to the map $g \cdot (-) \in \text{End}(CG)$. By Definition 0.7, the functor I sends $y(\bullet)$ to the pair

$$\begin{aligned} (y(\bullet)(\bullet), \rho: G \rightarrow GL(y(\bullet)(\bullet)); g \mapsto y(\bullet)(g)) \\ = (CG, \rho: G \rightarrow CG; g \mapsto g \cdot (-)) \end{aligned}$$

in $\text{Rep}(G)$. This is exactly the left regular representation ${}^{\text{reg}}CG$.

2. First we need to calculate the natural transformation $y(g)$. Since g is a morphism $\bullet \rightarrow \bullet$ we know $y(g)$ is a natural transformation $y(\bullet) \rightarrow y(\bullet)$. Since $y(g)$ is a map of functors of BCG^{op} we can evaluate everything at \bullet . That is $y(g)(\bullet): y(\bullet)(\bullet) \rightarrow y(\bullet)(\bullet)$ which is given by Definition 0.1 of the Yoneda embedding as the map $BCG^{\text{op}}(\bullet, g)$ which sends $v \in BCG^{\text{op}}(\bullet, \bullet)$ to $g \circ v$. As before the composition in BCG sends $g \circ v$ to the flipped multiplication vg , i.e. $y(g)(\bullet)$ is the linear map $(-)\cdot g$. Since BCG^{op} has only one object so $(-)\cdot g$ is the one component (linear map) of the natural transformation $y(g)$. By Definition 0.7, the functor I sends $y(g)$ map to the linear map $(-)\cdot g$ of vector spaces considered as G -equivariant map. That is $y(g)$ is precisely the G -equivariant map $(-)\cdot g: {}^{\text{reg}}CG \rightarrow {}^{\text{reg}}CG$.

□

References

- [1] Martina Balagović. *MAGIC075 Representations of Groups*. MAGIC Course Lecutre Notes. 2023. URL: <https://maths-magic.ac.uk/courses/2022-2023/magic075>.
- [2] Eduardo J. Dubuc. In: *Kan extensions in Enriched Category Theory*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1970, pp. 149–166. ISBN: 978-3-540-36307-1. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0060490.
- [3] Emily Riehl. In: *Category Theory in Context*. Dover Publications, 2017, pp. 57–60. ISBN: 9780486820804. URL: <https://math.jhu.edu/~eriehl/context.pdf>.